UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
HIEU PHAM,	Case No. <u>17-cv-04194-WHO</u>
Plaintiff,	VERDICT FORM
v.	
BRIAN BAST, et al.,	
Defendants.	
We, the Jury, find as follows:	
(1) Did Dr. Pham prove that Dr. Bast dep	orived him of his rights under the First taliating against him, violating 42 U.S.C. § 1983
(Jury Instruction Nos. 16 – 19)	tanating against him, violating 42 0.5.C. § 1765
YES	X_ NO
(2) Did Dr. Pham prove that the University California Labor Code § 1102.5? (Jun	ity of California retaliated against him, violating ry Instruction Nos. 20 – 23)
YES	
If your answer to either of questions 1 or 2 is YE	•
answer to each question was NO, please sign thi	s verdict form as instructed below.

1	(3) Did Dr. Pham sustain any damages? If he did, in what amount(s)? (Jury Instruction	
2	Nos. 24 – 26)	
3	Past economic losses: \$	
4	Future economic losses: \$	
5		
6	Past non-economic losses (including mental and emotional pain and suffering): \$	
7	Future non-economic losses (including mental and emotional pain	
8	and suffering): \$	
9		
10	(4) Was Dr. Bast's conduct malicious, oppressive, or in reckless disregard of Dr. Pham's	
12	rights? (Jury Instruction No. 27)	
13	YES NO	
14		
15	When you have reached your unanimous verdict, the jury foreperson should sign and date	
16	this form and contact the courtroom deputy, Ms. Davis.	
17		
18	DATED: 7. 18.23	
19	DATED:	
20	Ecchan	
21	JURY FOREPERSON	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		